217 Highly Capable Program Plan

Fiscal Year: 22-23
Milestone: Requested OSPI Approval (Printed 11/2/2022)

District: Issaquah School District Organization Code: 17411

ESD: Puget Sound Educational Service District 121

Page 1

Directions

All Local Education Agencies (LEAs) must complete this application for the 2022-23 school vear.

- Page 1 must be UPDATED ANNUALLY: District indicates if accepting Highly Capable funds, corrects all contact information as needed, corrects dates to current school year, and signs assurances to comply with Highly Capable Program requirements.
- Pages 2 through 7: LEA information entered on pages 2-6 of FP 217 will roll over from the LEA's last approved Highly Capable Comprehensive Plan. If changes were made on any Page (2-6) check the box on this page, then make changes on the appropriate page. Page 7 is to be updated annually.

REMINDER: The Highly Capable funding formula is based on 5.0 percent of each LEA's population. This is a funding formula and does not mean a certain percentage of students must be identified.

Your school board must approve the information and data you enter in this form package annually. In iGrants form Package 217 (fiscal year 2022-23), click Print All, to the right of Save. (WAC 392.170.025)

Program Monitoring and Review

OSPI staff will review District Highly Capable plans during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process. Districts will be reviewed during Consolidated Program Review cycle, even if they are not accepting funds, as Highly Capable is part of the program of basic education under RCW 28A.150.220(3)(q)3).

Updated Pages

Updates have been made to the following pages:

Page 2

Page 3

Page 4

Page 5

Page 6

Page 7

Assurances: Comply with State Law and Regulation

NOTE: As part of RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g), the instructional program of basic education provided by each LEA shall include programs for Highly Capable students. Consistent with OSPI's approach to the Learning Assistance Program and the Transitional Bilingual Instructional Program, an LEA does not have to accept funds. It does need to ensure services are provided to students who qualify. Under the law, LEAs are to identify their most Highly Capable students and serve them. See RCW 28A.185.020 (1) and (2).

Please check only one box below:

✓ LEA <u>accepts</u> Highly Capable allocation for 2022-23 school year. LEA agrees to the comply with:

a. RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g)(3)

The instructional program of basic education provided by each LEA shall include: (g) Programs for highly Capable students under RCW 28A.185.010 through 28A.185.030.

b. RCW 28A.185.020

Highly Capable program requirements provided in state law.

C. WAC 392-170-012

Highly Capable program requirements provided under OSPI rules. WAC 392-170

- d. Annually report the students served in the LEA's Highly Capable program in CEDARS.
- e. Your school board must approve the information and data you enter in this form package annually. LEA understands that OSPI staff will review the Comprehensive Plan during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process.
- f. Annually complete the End-of-Year Report (iGrants Form Package 250).
- g. Follow RCW 28A.185.020 District practices for identifying the most Highly Capable students must prioritize equitable identification of low-income students.
- h. Follow RCW 28A.300.770 Highly Capable students -Identification procedures. Assessment process for identification as Highly Capable student.

☐ LEA <u>DOES NOT accept</u> Highly Capable allocation for the 2022-23 school year. LEA understands that under the Basic Education Act, it must offer a Highly Capable program that complies with RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g). This includes a responsibility to identify and serve their most Highly Capable students. LEA agrees that it will:

- a. Annually report the students served in the district's Highly Capable program in CEDARS.
- b. Annually complete applicable portions of the End-of-Year Report (iGrants Form Package 250). This includes annually reviewing and validating CEDARS data.
- c. Your school board must approve the information and data you enter in this form package annually. LEA understands that OSPI staff will review the Comprehensive Plan during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process.
- d. Follow RCW 28A.185.020 District practices for identifying the most Highly Capable students must prioritize equitable identification of low-income students.
- e. Follow RCW 28A.300.770 Highly Capable students -Identification procedures. Assessment process for identification as Highly Capable student.

District officials have read, and the distance.	trict complies with, the laws and regulations
Authorized Representative Name:	Susan Mundell
Authorized Representative Title:	Executive Director of Elementary Education
Date: (MM/DD/YY)	10/14/22

Highly Capable Program Coor	dinator
Contact Name:	Page Perey, Director of Elementary Special Programs
Contact Organization:	Issaquah School District
Contact Email:	pereyp@issaquah.wednet.edu
Contact Phone:	425-837-7069
Contact Name:	Heather Ross
Contact Organization:	Issaquah School District

Highly Capable Program P	arent Organization
Is there a parent organiza	ation in your area? ビYes ロNo
Contact Name:	Page Perey
Contact Organization:	Highly Capable Program- Parent Engagement Group (HCP-PEG)
Contact Email:	pereyp@issaquah.wednet.edu
Contact Phone:	425-837-7069

District's Highly Capable Student Definition and Learning Characteristics RCW 28A-185-030, WAC 392-170 | 035 | 036

Instructions

- 1. Select one check box.
- 2. If you check the second box, provide the district's unique definition of a Highly Capable student and learning characteristics.
- District uses the state's definition for students who are Highly Capable, and to define the learning characteristics that could be evident in students identified as Highly Capable.
- $\ \square$ District uses a unique definition that integrates elements of the state's definitions.

Highly Capable Student: Unique District Definition and Learning Characteristics.

Statement of Purpose (OPTIONAL)

District has a statement of purpose for the Highly Capable program.

☐ Yes **☑** No

Identification Process: Notification, Referral, Screening, Assessment, Selection, Appeal RCW 28A-185-030, WACs 392-170 | 042 | 045 | 047 | 055 | 060 | 070 | 075

Instructions

Identification procedures must occur at all grade levels in the district. The demographics that characterize your district's Highly Capable students should reflect the demographics of the district's population. Once a student is identified, the district provides services across all grade levels — for as long as he or she remains in the district.

Every Item is Mandatory

- 1. Mark each check box in sections A, B, C, D and E to affirm that these WAC requirements are in place.
- 2. Write your response where indicated.

A. Annual Notification WAC 392-170-042

Assurances

Public notification for parents and students before any major identification activity.

Public Notification

- Translated into languages spoken by the communities whose students attend the schools in your district, as necessary to reach the families whose children attend schools in your district.
- Published across multiple communication channels with circulation adequate to notify parents and students throughout the district.

B. Referral Process WAC 392-170-045 | 055

Assurances

- District uses a specific process to refer students for the Highly Capable program
- Referral process permits referrals from teachers, other staff, parents, students and community members.

C. Parental/Legal Guardian Permission WAC 392-170-047

District must have on file written or electronic signature permission to assess and start HCP services. Every item listed below is required by WAC 392-170-047.

Assurances

- District gets permission to assess.
- District gets permission to start services.

Every assurance in the table below is mandatory.

Permission to Test Includes		Permission to Start HCP Services Includes	
Explanation of the procedures for identification of a student for entrance into the HCP.	P	Explanation of the procedures for identification of a student for entrance into the HCP.	P
Explanation of the process for appealing the selection decision of the multidisciplinary selection committee.	P	Explanation of the process for appealing the selection decision of the multidisciplinary selection committee.	F
Explanation of the procedures to exit a student from the program.	P	Explanation of the procedures to exit a student from the program.	P
Information on the district's program and the options that will be available to identified students.	P	Information on the district's program and the options that will be available to identified students.	P

D. Screening Procedures OPTIONAL <u>WAC 392-170-045</u> | <u>055</u> | <u>060</u> | <u>075</u>

Instructions

The referral process could include a method to screen out students who do not qualify for the HCP, based on clear current evidence.

The district uses a screening process. Yes **P** No **T**

If yes, click the NEW button and complete the tables to document the type of screener by grade level.

If no, continue to Part E.

Do Not Lose Your Data - Click Save!

Click **Save** at the top of the page after you complete each table.

Screening Procedures

Assurances

Mark both check boxes to affirm that WAC requirements detailed in 392-170-055 and 392-170-060 are in place.

- District has a clearly defined and documented screening process.
- ✓ All tests and other evaluation materials used in the screening meet requirements of WAS 392-170-060 Nondiscrimination in the use of tests.

Instructions

MSC Considers Screening and Assessment Data

Make sure your multidisciplinary selection committee reviews all the data you collect through your screening procedures and your assessment process.

WAC 392-170-075 Selection of Most Highly Capable

the most highly capable as defined under wac 392-170-055, and other data collected in the assessment process."

If you checked the **K12 box**, do NOT check the boxes below individual grades.

Cooritivo					Scre	enei	r by	Grad	de L	evel				
Cognitive	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
CogAt 7-Screening Form		P	P		P	P	P	P	Б	П	П		П	П
CogAt 7-Full Battery		П	□	П	П	П	Г	П	П		П			
Naglieri Nonverbal Aptitude Test (NNAT2)	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	Е	П	П	П	Б	П
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales (SB5)	П	П	П	П	П	П	П'	П	П	П	П	П	П	Б
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales for Early Childhood (Early SB5)	П		П	П	П	П	П		Е	П	П	Е	П	П
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 4th Edition (WISC IV)	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	Г	П	Г	П	П
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJ IV)		П	П	П	П	П	П	П	Г	Г	П	П		□
Otis-Lennon School Ability Test, 8 Edition (OLSAT 8)	П	П	П	П	П	Е	П	П	Б	П	П	Б	П	П
Other: Name(s) Other state's cognitive tests as submitted in the referral process.	Б	Г	г	Б	П	Ę.	П	Б	E	Б	П	Б	П	П

Academic Achievement					Scre	enei	· by	Grad	de L	evel				
Academic Acinevement	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)	П			П		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
MAP for Primary Grades (MPG)		П	П	П	П			П			П	П	П	П
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)	П			П	П	П		П	П	П	П	Г	П	П
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS)	П	П	П	П		П			П	П	П	П	Е	
Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED)		П			Б		П					Б	П	П

Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10)	Π,	П	П	П		П		П	П	П	П	П	П	П
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJIV)	Б									П				
Other: Name(s) Other state's achievement tests as submitted in the referral process.	P	П	П	П	Б	Г	п	Б	П	П	Г	Б	Г	

Creativity				9	Scre	ener	by	Grad	ie Le	evel				
Creativity	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Torrance Test of Creative Thinking (TTCT)	П		П	Г			П	П	П	П		П	П	Б
Other: Name(s)	П	Б	Д	П	П	Г	Г		П	П	П	П	П	П

Research-based Rating Scale					Scre	enei	by	Grad	le L	evel				
Research-based Rating Scale	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)	П	П	П			П	Б		П		П		П	П
Scales for Rating the Behavioral Characteristics of Superior Students (Renzulli Scales)	Б	п	п	П	П	П	Б	п	П	п	П	П	Б	
Scales for Identifying Gifted Students, 2004 (SIGS)		П			П	П		П	П	П				
WaKIDS (Washington Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills)		П												
Other: Name(s) Other state's rating scales as submitted in the referral process.	Б	П		П	П			П	Б	п	П	П	П	П

Informal Measures				\$	Scre	enei	r by	Grad	de L	evel				
Informal Measures	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Kingore Observation Inventory	Е	П	П			П	П		П		П	П	П	П
Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed	П					П			п	П	П	П	П	П
Parent Rating Scale-locally developed		П			П	П	П		П		П		П	П
Report Card	P	П	П	П		П			П		П		П	П
Portfolio-Work Samples		П	П	П	П	Е	П		П	П	П		П	
Other: Name(s) Other state's informal measures as submitted in the referral process.	P		П	П	П	П		П	П	.	П	Г	П	

REMEMBER: Allow SAVE to complete before hitting the NEW button again.

E. Assessment Process WAC 392-170-055 | 060

Assurances

Mark each check box to affirm that WAC requirements detailed in 392-170-055 and 392-170-060 are in place.

- District has a clearly defined and documented assessment process.
- All tests and other evaluation materials used in the assessment meet requirements of WAC 392-170-060 Nondiscrimination in the use of Tests.

Instructions

Use up-to-date assessment tools. Contact individual publishing companies for more information on each assessment.

Other - Name the other data sources you use.

If K12 is marked, do not check any of the individual grade level boxes for the measure.

ALERT: Districts that screen must use different instruments in the assessment process.

Assessment Measures

Cognitive			As	sess	mei	nt M	easu	ıre E	y G	rade	Lev	el		
Cognitive	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
CogAT 7-Screening Form		Е		П			П			Б				
CogAT 8-Screening Form				П	П			П	□		П	П	П	П
CogAT 7-Full Battery	P	П	П		П		П	П	П	П		П		П
CogAT 8-Full Battery		П	П	П	П	П		П	П	П	П	П	П	П
Naglieri Nonverbal Aptitude Test (NNAT2)	П.	П	п					П	С	П	П	П	Б	
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales (SB5)	П	П	Г	П	п	П		П	П	Е	П	П	П	П
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales for Early Childhood (Early SB5)	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	п	Г	П	П	П	П
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 4th Edition (WISC IV)		Б	Г	Б	Г		П	П	П	П	П	Г		П
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJ IV)	Б	П	П	П	П		П	П		П		П		П
Otis-Lennon School Ability Test, 8 Edition (OLSAT 8)	П	П				П		Г	П	П	П	П	П	П
Other: Name(s)	П	П	П	П	П	П	Г	Ę	П	Г	П	П		П

Acadomic Achieves and			As	sess	mei	nt M	eası	ıre E	By G	rade	Lev	el		
Academic Achievement	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)	Г		П		П	П	Б	П	П	П		П	П	Г
MAP for Primary Grades (MPG)		П	Г		П	П	П	П	П		Г	П		Г
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)	П	П	Г	П	П	Г	П	П	П	П	П	п	П	П
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS)		П		П	П		Е	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED)	п	п	П	П	П	□			П	П	П	П	П	П
Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10)	П	П	П	П	Б		П	П	Г	Г	П	П	Г	Е
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJIV)		П		Б	П	П		П	П	П	П		П	П
Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement (KTEA)		П	П	П	П	□	□		П		П	П	Е	П
Other: Name(s) iReady Math and Reading	П	P	P	P	P	П	P	п	п		п	П	П	П

Croativity	Assessment Measure by Grade Level													
Creativity	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Torrance Test of Creative Thinking	П	П		P	P	P	P	P	P	P	v	┖	P	P
Other: Name(s)		П		П	П		П		E	П	П	П		

Research-Based Rating Scale			As	sess	mer	nt M	easu	ıre b	y Gı	rade	Lev	el		
Research-based Rating Scale	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Gifted Rating Scales, 2003 (GRS)			П	Г	□	П			Г	П	Г	П	П	Б
Scales for Rating the Behavioral Characteristics of Superior Students (Renzulli Scales)	П		П	П	П	П	Б	п	г	П	Г	П	Е	Г
Scales for Identifying Gifted														

11/2/22, 5:03 PM				iGra	ants	iGran	ts Wri	ter					
Students, 2004 (SIGS)	Г							Е					
WaKIDS (Washington Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills)	Г												
Other: Name(s)	П	П	П	Г	Б	П		П	Б	ū	Б	П	П

Informal Measures			As	sess	mei	nt M	eası	ıre b	y G	rade	Lev	el		
informat Measures	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Kingore Observation Inventory			П	П	П	П	П			Ti.	П	П	□	П
Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed	Г	П	Б	П	П	П	П	П		П			П	П
Parent Rating Scale-locally developed	Π,	Г	П	П	П	П	П	Г	Б	П	Е	Б	Г	П
Report Card		П	П	Г		П	П	П	Г			П		П
Portfolio-Work Samples		Г	Г		П	П				П		П	П	Е
Other: Name(s)	Б	П	П		П	П	Г	П	П	Г	П	П	Г	П

F. Selection <u>WAC 392-170-075</u>

Multidisciplinary Selection Committee (MSC) Considers Screening and Assessment Data If you screen, make sure your multidisciplinary selection committee reviews all the data you collect through your screening procedures and your assessment process.

WAC 392-170-075 Selection of Most Highly Capable

Shall be based on a selection system that determines which students are the most Highly Capable as defined under wac 392-170-055, and other data collected in the assessment process."

Assurances

- District has documented procedure and board-approved policy that govern selection of the most Highly Capable students by the MSC. This policy and its procedures meet the requirements listed in WAC 392-170-075.
- ☑ Board Policy and Procedure Number 2190
- If not, 2190: Board Policy and Procedure Name or Number

G. Multidisciplinary Selection Committee (MSC) WAC 392-170- 070 | 075 | 038

Assurances

MSC members must meet the minimum professional requirements listed in WAC 392-170-070.

- The district's MSC composition and the role of the committee members comply with WAC 392-170-070 and 075.
- Each committee member commits to the strictest level of confidentiality related to the process, documentation, student information and selection.

HCP Services: Continuum and Variety RCW 28A-185-030, WAC 392-170-030

A. Program Services Management WAC 392-170-078 | 080

Assurances

- District provides educational opportunities that take into account each student's needs and capabilities.
- District reviews services for each student periodically to ensure services meet each student's needs and capabilities.

B. Variety and Continuum of Program Services WAC 392-170-078 | 080

Instructions

CEDARS gifted values identifies four primary structures for HCP service delivery:

- General education classroom-based services and programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 32
- Unique HCP Services/Programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 33
- Acceleration Services/Programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 34
- Non-Traditional Services/Programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 35

For each service delivery option or options you provide identify the instructional strategies and curricular modifications teachers integrate to meet the needs of their Highly Capable students.

ALERT: Instructional programming and the delivery of HCP services must be in place at every grade level in your district. Highly Capable students remain in the program until their enrollment in your district ends.

Complete the Gifted Value Tables

For each Gifted Value identify:

- 1. Program options by grade level. If K12 is marked, do not check any of the individual grade level boxes for the measure.
- 2. Instructional strategies and curricular modifications.

CEDARS Gifted Value 32 General Education classroom-	K12	K	Period .	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1 1	12
based services and programs	P	П	П	П	П	Б	П	П	П	П	Г			П
Instructional Strategies and Curric	:ula M	odif	icat	ion										
▼ Differentiation				P	Curr	iculu	m Co	ompa	actin	g				
Flexible grouping				P	Enric	chme	nt							
☐ Independent study				P	Inde	penc	lent	proje	ects					
₽ Pacing				П	Cont	ent a	accel	erati	on					
☐ Supplemental instruction in area of	intere	est		P	Supp	olem	ental	mat	eria	ls in	area	of in	tere	st
Cluster grouping				П	Othe	r Na	me(s	s)						
								,		,				_
CEDARS Gifted Value 33 Unique HCP services and programs	K 4 2	K	grand.	2	3	4	5	5	7	2	3	10	400 miles	12
Self-Contained classroom		П	П		P	P	P	П		Г	П	П	П	П
Supplemental pull-out program	П	P	P	P	P	P	P	П		П	Б		Б	П
Specialty online course or courses		П	Г		П		П			П		П	П	П
Other Name(s)	П	Б		П	П	Г	П	П		П	П	П	П	
Instructional Strategies and Curric	ula M	odif	icat	ion										
☑ Differentiation				P	Curr	iculu	m Co	ompa	actin	g				
Flexible grouping				P	Enric	hme	nt							

1/2/22, 5:03 PM					iGra	ants	iGran	ıts Wri	ter					
▽ Independent study		▼ Independent projects												
₽ Pacing		☐ Content acceleration												
▽ Supplemental instruction in ar	ea of inter	est		P	Supp	plem	enta	l ma	teria	ls in	area	of ir	ntere	st
Cluster grouping				Г	Othe	er Na	ıme(s)						
CEDARS Gifted Value 34				ĺ							1			T
Acceleration services and programs	K12	К	1	2	~~	4	5	6	7:	8	9	10	11	12
Advance Placement (AP)	Г	П	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	П	P	P	V	교
Cambridge AICE		-	Г	Г	Е	I	Ī	F	-	F	 -	F	F	┢

CEDARS Gifted Value 34 Acceleration services and programs	K12	К	1	2	yearage self- near the	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Advance Placement (AP)	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	П	V	P	v	P
Cambridge AICE	Г	Г	П	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г
College in the High School	Г	Г	П	Г	П	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	П
Concurrent or dual enrollment	Г	Г	Г	Г	匸	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	ঘ	ঘ	┏	ᄝ
Credit by examination	Г	Г	Г	Г	г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	ঘ	ত	ᄝ	ᄝ
Early entrance middle school, high school or college	Г	П	Г	Г	г	Г	г	Г	Г	Г	Г	г	Г	г
Grade level advancement		Б	П	Г		Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	П	Г	Г
Honors/Advanced	Г	Г	Г	П	Г	Г	Г	모	P	┏	ঘ	P.	ভ	ত
International Baccalaureate (IB)	Г	Г	Г	г	Г	Г	П	Г	Г	Г	ঘ	ত	P	모
Online course(s) for subject acceleration	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Γ	П	P	┖	চ	ঘ	ᄝ	ত	ᄝ
Running Start	П	Г	П	Г	П	П	Г	Г	Γ.	Г	Г	Г	ত	ঘ
Subject-based acceleration	Г	Г	П	Г	P	ত	모	ঘ	P	P	ঘ	ত	P	ᄝ
Other Name(s)	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Γ	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г
Instructional Strategies and Curri	cula M	odif	icati	ion										
▽ Differentiation				┏	Curri	culu	m Co	mpa	ctin	g				
Flexible grouping				P	Enric	hme	nt							i
▼ Independent study				ᄝ	Inde	pend	ent _l	oroje	cts					
P Pacing				P	Cont	ent a	ccel	erati	on					
☐ Supplemental instruction in area of interest					☐ Supplemental materials in area of interest									
Cluster grouping					Othe	r Na	me(s	5)						

Cluster grouping				Г	Othe	er Na	me(s)						
CEDARS Gifted Value 35 Non-traditional services and programs	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	States States Walter	10	11	12
Mentorship	П	Г	Г	Г	Г	П	П	Г	Г	Г	Г	г	Б	Г
Collaborative partnership with industry	П	П	П	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	г	П	Г	Г	г
Cooperative arrangement with ESD	П	П	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	г	Г
Cooperative arrangement with other district(s)	П	г	г	Г	г	Г	Г	Г	Г	Г	г	Г	Г	г
Supplemental academic competitions	Г	Г	Г	П	П	ঘ	ত	ত	ত	ত	ত	ঘ	ᄝ	ঢ়
Supplemental summer enrichment or acceleration	Г	Г	Г	г	Г	Г	г	г	Г	Г	Г	г	г	Г
Supplemental before or after school services and extra-curricular academic activities	Г	г	п	ত	ত	ᄝ	ত	ত	┖	ᄝ	┖	F	┖	┖
Other Name(s) Running Start-we had students take courses off campus at local colleges	Г	П	П	п	Г	Г	г	П	г	г	г	г	┖	P
Instructional Strategies and Curri	cula M	lodi1	ficat	ion							-			
☐ Differentiation				Г	Curr	iculu	m Co	ompa	actin	g				
Flexible grouping				№ Enrichment										

Independent study

Pacing	Content acceleration
Supplemental instruction in area of interest	☐ Supplemental materials in area of interest
Cluster grouping	C Other Name(s)

Program Goals, Monitoring and Evaluation WAC 392-170-030 | RCW 28A.185.056 |

A. District Program Goals WAC 392-170-030

Assurance

District has defined goals for the Highly Capable program and works toward meeting those goals.

ALERT: Keep documentation related to HCP goals on file at the district. District staff make these records available to authorized personnel during the sate Consolidated Program Review cycle and on request.

B. Monitoring: District Records That Demonstrate Compliance WAC 392-170-095 | RCW 28A.185.050 | RCW 28A.150.220

Instructions

Keep those records that evidence compliance with state law at the district. Here are some examples of the HCP documents districts must keep on file:

- · School board policy and district procedure that govern the district's Highly Capable program
- Assurances
- Annual public notification
- Parent/legal guardian permission notices and letters
- Description/documentation related to processes of identification, selection, appeal and program evaluation
- Description/documentation related to program exit
- Documentation related to program options and services
- Records related to individual educational programs for Highly Capable students

Assurance

District maintains records that evidence compliance with the laws and regulations related to the Highly Capable program. District staff makes these records available to authorized personnel during the Consolidated Program Review cycle and on request.

ALERT: Do not upload HCP documentation; keep on file at the district.

C1. Evaluation <u>WAC 392-170-030</u> | <u>RCW 28A.185.050</u> | <u>RCW 28A.150.220</u>

Instructions

The evaluation of your HCP should return data that measure:

- 1. The annual efficacy of the district's HCP administration and operations
- 2. Compliance with state laws and regulations related to the highly capable program

Select the methods and activities you will use to evaluate the effectiveness of your Highly Capable program. Enter the timeframes for review and analysis. These data will inform your Form Package 250 End of Year report, and provide Program Evaluation evidence for Consolidated Program Review.

		Timeframe by Month(s) example September, December, April
Program Administration / Operations	Reviewed	Review and Analysis
Program Administration	n/Operation	
District Policy	P	Reviewed annually-June-August and updated and/or revised based on program needs/review
Program Expenditures	₽.	Ongoing throughout the year we are monitoring expenditures from general fund and outside grants. Annually in July-August
Compliance to WAC 392-	P	Weekly check-ins are ongoing throughout the year as we

417		
170	li I	implement each stage in the yearly cycle which includes: referral process, screening, eligibility testing, selection, parent notification, permission for placement, and appeals.
District Procedures	P	Weekly check-ins are ongoing throughout the year as we implement each stage in the yearly cycle which includes: referral process, screening, eligibility testing, selection, parent notification, permission for placement, and appeals.
Goals for District Program	P	Annual review in July and August
Academic Goals for HCP Students	F	Fall and spring-student learning plans and reflections on those plans.
Communications	् च	Website updated as each process requires notification to parents, and quarterly review of website content to ensure information is up to date. Parent communications are revised, updated and edited to reflect in any changes as part of weekly check-in. These are ongoing throughout the year as we implement each stage in the yearly cycle which includes: referral process, screening, eligibility testing, selection, parent notification and permission placement, appeals.
Variety of Services at Grade Levels	P	Annual review in July-August.
Continuum of Services	P	Annual review in July-August.
Other: Name(s)Weekly check-ins, monthly meetings with teachers, coding procedures reviews, review of assessments administered. annual program review with the school board	₽	Ongoing review of all aspects of the program and processes occur on a weekly basis through check-ins. Meetings include assessment personnel, student information systems personnel, Highly Capable personnel, guidance counselors, teaching and learning personnel and Highly Capable teachers.

C2. Evaluation <u>WAC 392-170-030</u> | <u>RCW 28A.185.050</u> | <u>RCW 28A.150.220</u>

Instructions

The evaluation of your HCP should return data that measure:

- 1. How well you HCP met its program goals
- 2. Academic achievement of your Highly Capable students
- 3. How well your HCP addressed the needs and capabilities of Highly Capable students

Select the methods and activities you will use to evaluate the effectiveness of your Highly Capable program. Enter the grade level, and timeframes for collection/administration and analysis. These data will inform your Form Package 250 End of Year report, and provide Program Evaluation evidence for Consolidated Program Review.

		Timeframe by Mo September, De	
Evaluation Methods and Activities	Grades	Collect or Administer	Review
Grades and Tests			
AP Tests	Elementary Secondary	AP test window	July-August(if able to get scores with student names attached from vendor)
Cambridge AICE Tests	Elementary Secondary		
Classroom-based Assessments	Elementary Secondary	Ongoing in all subject areas	
District Assessments	F Elementary Secondary	District Test Window for iReady math and reading, Fountas and Pinnell and Writing Sept-October, January- February April-May	July-August

IB lests	Elementary							
	Secondary IB test window				July-August (if able to get scores with student names attached from vendor)			
Performance Assessment	F Elementary							
Assessment	☐ Secondary							
Progress Reports								
	Secondary							
Report Cards	Elementary Secondary							
State Assessments	Following state testing window September and October and March-June Following state testing window September and October and March-June			August-September January-February and August-September				
Student Growth Percentiles (SGP) comparing academic peers	☐ Elementary ☐ Secondary							
Other: Name(s)Course participation in advanced classes	Elementary Secondary	October		October				
Qualitative Data								
St-ff A	F Elementary Ongoing			Ongoing				
Staff Anecdotal Observa	Secondary Ongoing			Ongoing				
Student Reflection	Flementary September/June			September/June				
	Secondary September/June			September/June				
Student Interviews		Elementary Secondary						
Other: Name(s)		☐ Elementary ☐ Secondary						
Surveys			t.					
Administrator	☐ Elementary ☐ Secondary							
Parent	Flemer	ntary						
	Second							
Student	F Second		November	November				
Teacher	☐ Elemen							
Other: Name(s)Counsel secondary program	Element Second		June	June				
Other Data Sources								
Attendance					entary ndary			
Competition Performance and Outcomes for supplemental programs such as Destination Imagination, Future Problem Solvers, History Day, debate, chess					entary			

1	1/2/22, 5:03 PM	iGrants iGrants Writer						
Ì	Program Participation	F Elementary June September						
		Secondary October October and June						
Other: Name(s)Demo	Other: Name(s)Demographic information information	F Elementary October June						
l	a sile i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Secondary October June						

School Board Annual Approval of District Comprehensive Plan: iGrants 217 WAC 392-170-020 | 025 | 030

A. Estimate of Students Expected to Serve WAC 392-170-030

Instructions

Estimate the number of students your district expects to serve at each grade — across the district's total grade span.

K	1	2	3	4	. 5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
25	25	11	134	84	139	101	142	127	115	93	73	51	1,120

B. iGrants 217 Highly Capable Program Comprehensive Plan: School Board Annual Approval WAC 392-170-020 | 025

Instructions

This iGrants form package - 217 - is your district's Comprehensive plan.

- 1. Complete, print out all pages and take it to your school board for annual review and approval. Click Print All, to the right of Save.
- 2. Enter the date your school board approved this Comprehensive plan, iGrants 217. If it is not yet approved, please enter date when it will be on board agenda for approval.
- 3. Upload the board meeting minutes that document approval. Contact us to open this page when minutes are available.

Date of Annual Board Approval: 11/10/2022

Upload meeting minutes that show annual board approval of iGrants FP 217.

File names: do not use symbols or special characters.

Uploaded Files

Uploaded By

Uploaded At

Files have not been uploaded

Equitable Identification of Low-Income Students RCW 28A.185.020

Update as needed how you address equitable identification of low-income students as required by law RCW 28A.185.020.

1. Identify person(s) responsible for developing and implementing LEA equitable identification plan to address low-income students.

Susan Mundell - Executive Director of Elementary Education Page Perey - Director of Elementary Special Programs Melinda Reynvaan - Executive Director of Elementary Education

2. LEAs may find systemic barriers to identifying low-income students, such as: limited communication about referral process, testing outside school day, reliance on standardized testing only, no routine review of existing data, communication in English only, "cut off" scores on standardized tests, lack of information by school front office staff, and others.

OSPI suggests the following possible actions to reduce barriers to identifying low-income students: screen all students at certain grade level(s) to "screen in" students who may be overlooked, look for above-grade-level WaKIDS indicators, assess rapid growth over time with WaKIDS or ELPA21, test during school day in home school, review IEPs for students with disabilities for indicators of giftedness (twice exceptional), inform staff of gifted indicators and solicit referrals by staff, use alternative assessments for English learners, routinely review all relevant data for any new student, include referral information in enrollment packets, reach out to families by native language speaker.

Please update actions your LEA takes to prioritize equitable identification of lowincome students, and the LEA's process to implement during the 2022-23 identification cycle.

- a. Continue our practice of screening of all kindergarten students in neighborhood schools during the school day in the 2022-2023 SY.
- b. Continue our practice of full eligibility testing for all second grade students in neighborhood schools during the school day in the 2022-23 SY.
- c. Continue our practice of scheduling all universal screening during school hours in the 2022-2023 SY.
- d. Continue our practice of prohibiting outside testing for eligibility consideration in the 2022-2023 SY.
- e. Continue our practice of using the Nonverbal section of CogAT (for ELL accessibility) in the 2022-2032 SY.
- f. Continue our practice of allowing all students at secondary level to self-select courses in the 2021-2032 SY.
- g. Continue our practice of having the HCP district administrator attend New to the U.S. and New to ISD Family Nights to share information and answer questions in the 2022-2023 SY.
- h. Continue our practice of considering ELL status and rate of language acquisition in MSC meetings in the 2022-2023 SY.
- i. Continue our practice of increasing translations of HCP notification materials by placing the on the district website platform which translates content including appeal process, exit procedures, testing opt out form, private, home-based form in the 2022-2023 SY.
- j. Continue our practice of having the Highly Capable Program Director train all school secretaries on HCP procedures before school starts annually in the 2022-2023 SY.
- k. Developed and will continue to use a data sweep routine to review existing data in order to engage in direct outreach to students 2022-2023 school year.
- I. Annual training of all staff on a highly capable module.

Criteria for Identification RCW 28A.300.770

Explain how you address criteria for identification as required by RCW 28A.300.770.

3. Update actions your LEA takes to address these criteria in your identification policy and procedures, and the LEA's process to implement during 2021-22 identification cycle.

LEAs must have identification procedures for Highly Capable programs that are clearly stated and implemented using the following criteria:

- a. Districts must use multiple objective criteria to identify students who are among the most Highly Capable. Multiple pathways for qualifications must be available and no single criterion may disqualify a student from identification.
- b. Highly Capable selection decisions must be based on consideration of criteria benchmarked on local norms, but local norms may not be used as a more restrictive criterion than national norms.
- c. Subjective measures such as teacher recommendations or report card grades may not be used to screen out a student from assessment. These data points may

be used alongside other criteria during selection to support identification, but may not be used to disqualify a student from being identified.

- d. To the extent practicable, screening and assessments must be given in the native language of the student. If native language screening and assessments are not available, a nonverbal screening and assessment must be used.
 - a. ISD worked with a consultant beginning in the spring of 2018 through the fall of 2019 $\,$ to revise its identification process to ensure that a single criteria would not disqualify a student from identification. The new identification process, based on a holistic matrix score using the z-score formula, was launched in January of 2019.
 - b. ISD uses a combination of national and local norms. While national norms are used to determine scores, students' matrix scores are then compared to the local population. National percentiles are not used to determine eligibility.
 - c. Teacher recommendations and reports cards are not a part of the ISD identification process.
 - d. ISD continues to communicate with test vendors about what tools are available in other languages spoken in the district.
 - e. ISD already uses the Nonverbal subtest of the CogAT.